

GCSE HISTORY 8145/1B/A

Paper 1 Section B/A:

Conflict and tension: the First World War, 1894–1918

Mark scheme

June 2023

Version: Final 1.0



Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

Copyright information

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Copyright © 2023 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Level of response marking instructions

Level of response mark schemes are broken down into levels, each of which has a descriptor. The descriptor for the level shows the average performance for the level. There are marks in each level.

Before you apply the mark scheme to a student's answer read through the answer and annotate it (as instructed) to show the qualities that are being looked for. You can then apply the mark scheme.

Step 1 Determine a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the answer meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student's answer for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the answer. With practice and familiarity you will find that for better answers you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level you should look at the overall quality of the answer and not look to pick holes in small and specific parts of the answer where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the answer covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme you should use a best fit approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the response to help decide the mark within the level, ie if the response is predominantly level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

Step 2 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level you need to decide on the mark. The descriptors on how to allocate marks can help with this. The exemplar materials used during standardisation will help. There will be an answer in the standardising materials which will correspond with each level of the mark scheme. This answer will have been awarded a mark by the Lead Examiner. You can compare the student's answer with the example to determine if it is the same standard, better or worse than the example. You can then use this to allocate a mark for the answer based on the Lead Examiner's mark on the example.

You may well need to read back through the answer as you apply the mark scheme to clarify points and assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

Indicative content in the mark scheme is provided as a guide for examiners. It is not intended to be exhaustive and you must credit other valid points. Students do not have to cover all of the points mentioned in the Indicative content to reach the highest level of the mark scheme.

An answer which contains nothing of relevance to the question must be awarded no marks.

Step 3 Spelling, punctuation and grammar (SPaG)

Spelling, punctuation and grammar will be assessed in question 04.

	Performance descriptor	Marks awarded
High performance	 Learners spell and punctuate with consistent accuracy Learners use rules of grammar with effective control of meaning overall Learners use a wide range of specialist terms as appropriate 	4 marks
Intermediate performance	 Learners spell and punctuate with considerable accuracy Learners use rules of grammar with general control of meaning overall Learners use a good range of specialist terms as appropriate 	2–3 marks
Threshold performance	 Learners spell and punctuate with reasonable accuracy Learners use rules of grammar with some control of meaning and any errors do not significantly hinder meaning overall Learners use a limited range of specialist terms as appropriate 	1 mark
No marks awarded	 The learner writes nothing The learner's response does not relate to the question The learner's achievement in SPaG does not reach the threshold performance level, for example errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar severely hinder meaning 	0 marks

Question 04 is an extended response question. They give students the opportunity to demonstrate their ability to construct and develop a sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant, substantiated and logically structured.

Source A supports the view that Germany was a defeated country. How do you know?

Explain your answer using **Source A** and your contextual knowledge.

[4 marks]

The indicative content is designed to exemplify the qualities expected at each level and is not a full exemplar answer. All historically relevant and valid answers should be credited.

TargetAnalyse sources contemporary to the period (AO3a)

0

Level 2: Developed analysis of source based on content and/or provenance 3–4

Students may progress from a simple analysis of the source with extended reasoning supported by factual knowledge and understanding related to the features of the source.

For example, the cartoon was produced when Germany had been defeated and the armistice was signed. Foch met the German representative in a railway carriage in Northern France. Germany had no option but to accept the terms shown in the cartoon, which included making payments to the allies for damages.

Level 1: Simple analysis of source based on content and/or provenance 1–2

Students identify relevant features in the source and support them with simple factual knowledge and understanding.

For example, the cartoon shows that Germany was unable to fight any more.

Students either submit no evidence or fail to address the question 0

0 2 How useful are **Sources B** and **C** to an historian studying the war at sea?

Explain your answer using **Sources B** and **C** and your contextual knowledge.

[12 marks]

The indicative content is designed to exemplify the qualities expected at each level and is not a full exemplar answer. All historically relevant and valid answers should be credited.

TargetAnalyse sources contemporary to the period (AO3a)Evaluate sources and make substantiated judgements (AO3b)

In analysing and evaluating sources, students will draw on their contextual knowledge to question critically the content and provenance of sources (for example, the context of the time in which source was created, place, author's situation, knowledge, beliefs, circumstances, access to information, purpose and audience).

Level 4: Complex evaluation of both sources with sustained judgement based on 10–12 content and provenance

Students may progress from a developed evaluation of the sources by complex reasoning related to utility on the basis of content and provenance. They may evaluate the relationship between the sources based on analysis of provenance and contextual knowledge.

For example, the sources are useful because they show the importance of the war at sea. Source B shows that Germany believed the war at sea was a vital part of gaining victory over Britain even if it risked causing the entry of the USA. The U-boat campaign was so successful that by April 1917, Britain's food supply was critically low.

Level 3: Developed evaluation of sources based on the content and/or provenance

7–9

Students may progress from a simple evaluation of the sources with extended reasoning related to utility on the basis of content and/or provenance.

For example, Source B is useful for understanding the impact of the British tactic of blockade during the war at sea. At the start of the First World War, British ships cut off the supply route of the North Sea in an attempt to force Germany into surrender. This affected German industry and agriculture because it prevented the importing of coal, oil and fertilisers. Source C shows how America was preparing its navy to join the war at sea by recruiting more sailors in 1917.

For example, Source C is useful because it shows that the American government tried to motivate men to join the navy and fight in the war at sea. America wanted to get revenge for the death of American citizens who drowned when the passenger ship, Lusitania was sunk by a German U-boat. The source presents Germany as a murderous pirate to suggest that their

actions are not an acceptable part of warfare. Source B says that Germany used unrestricted submarine warfare in the war at sea. This was a policy to sink all ships sailing to Britain.

Level 2: Simple evaluation of source(s) based on content and/or provenance 4–6

Students may progress from a basic analysis of the source(s) to simple evaluation of the content and/or provenance.

For example, Source B says that Germany used unrestricted submarine warfare in the war at sea which was a policy to sink all ships sailing to Britain. Britain used a convoy system to protect its supply ships.

The provenance of Source C shows that the Americans increased the size of their navy by recruiting sailors during the First World War.

Level 1: Basic analysis of sources(s)

1–3

Answers may show understanding/support for one or both sources, but the case is made by assertion/basic inference.

Students identify basic features which are valid about the sources and related to the enquiry point, for example,

Source B says that the Germans wanted to sink the ships that brought food to Britain.

Source C shows that Germany killed children during the war at sea.

Students either submit no evidence or fail to address the question

0

0 3

Write an account of how the entry of America had an impact on the First World War.

[8 marks]

5 - 6

The indicative content is designed to exemplify the qualities expected at each level and is not a full exemplar answer. All historically relevant and valid answers should be credited.

TargetExplain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-
order concepts (AO2:4)Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and
characteristics of the period studied (AO1:4)

Level 4: Answer is presented in a coherent narrative/account that demonstrates a 7–8 range of accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question

Extends Level 3.

Students may progress from a developed narrative of causation/consequence with complex sequencing and reasoning supported by a range of accurate and detailed factual knowledge and understanding which might be related, for example, to an analysis of how/why tension increased at different stages and /or showing understanding about how much each part of the sequence increased tension and led to a crisis.

For example, the entry of the USA had an impact on the First World War on two levels. It was of psychological benefit to the Allies even before physical reinforcements arrived from America because it compensated for the withdrawal of Russia. This was an important boost to morale amongst the Allied armies as well as being damaging to the morale of the German army.

Level 3: Developed analysis of causation/consequence Answer is presented in a structured and well-ordered narrative/account that demonstrates a range of accurate knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question

Extends Level 2.

Students may progress from a simple narrative of causation/consequence with developed sequencing and reasoning supported by a range of accurate factual knowledge and understanding which might be related, for example to an analysis of how/why tension increased at one stage in the process.

For example, the entry of the USA strengthened the Allies' fighting ability and supported the 'Hundred Days'. With access to new military equipment and over 1,000,000 US troops, Foch was able to attack along the entire length of the Western Front. The Germans were pushed back to the Hindenburg Line of 1917.

Level 2:	Simple analysis of causation/consequence Answer is presented in a structured account that demonstrates specific knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question	3–4
	Students may progress from a basic narrative of causation/consequence by showing a simple understanding of sequencing, supporting it with factual knowledge and understanding.	
	For example, the Allies were able to stop the Ludendorff Offensive. In 1918 the German army had advanced far enough into France to fire shells at Paris.	
Level 1:	Basic analysis of causation/consequence Answer is presented as general statements which demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question	1–2
	Students identify cause(s)/consequence(s) about the events such as Thousands of extra soldiers joined the war to fight with Britain against Germany.	

Students either submit no evidence or fail to address the question 0

Question 04 requires students to produce an extended response. Students should demonstrate their ability to construct and develop a sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant, substantiated and logically structured.

0 4

'The assassination of Archduke Franz Ferdinand was the main reason for the start of the First World War.'

How far do you agree with this statement?

Explain your answer.

[16 marks] [SPaG 4 marks]

The indicative content is designed to exemplify the qualities expected at each level and is not a full exemplar answer. All historically relevant and valid answers should be credited.

TargetExplain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-
order concepts (AO2:8)Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and
characteristics of the period studied (AO1:8)

Level 4: Complex explanation of stated factor and other factor(s) leading to a 13–16 sustained judgement Answer demonstrates a range of accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question Answer demonstrates a complex, sustained line of reasoning which has a sharply-focused coherence and logical structure that is fully substantiated, with well-judged relevance.

Extends Level 3.

Students may progress from a developed explanation of causation by complex explanation of the relationship between causes supported by detailed factual knowledge and understanding to form a sustained judgement.

For example, the assassination was the main reason for the start of the First World War because it triggered the other reasons that included longer term factors and other countries. There had been rivalry between the European powers since the 19th century and a series of war plans had been created such as Germany's Schlieffen Plan. These plans had kept the entire continent poised for war and the assassination brought the plans into action.

Level 3: Developed explanation of the stated factor and other factor(s) Answer demonstrates a range of accurate knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question

9–12

Answer demonstrates a developed, sustained line of reasoning which has coherence and logical structure; it is well substantiated, and with sustained, explicit relevance.

Extends Level 2.

Answer may suggest that one reason has greater merit.

Students may progress from a simple explanation of causation with developed reasoning supported by factual knowledge and understanding.

For example, the assassination was the main reason for the start of the First World War because when Austria declared was on Serbia, Russia mobilised in order to support Serbia. In response, Germany mobilised and declared war on Russia. This escalated a problem that existed within the Austrian Empire into a war that involved the whole of Europe.

Another reason for the start of the First World War was the rivalry that existed between major European powers over influence in Morocco. Britain, France and Russia had supported each other against Germany gaining influence in Morocco in 1906 and 1911. They formed an alliance against Germany called the Triple Entente.

Level 2: Simple explanation of stated factor or other factor(s) Answer demonstrates specific knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question

5–8

Answer demonstrates a simple, sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, structured, substantiated and explicitly relevant.

Answers arguing a preference for one judgement but with only basic explanation of another view will be marked at this level.

Students may progress from a basic explanation of causation by simple reasoning and supporting it with factual knowledge and understanding.

For example, the arms race was a main reason for the start of the First World War. The powers of Europe spent increasing amounts of money creating bigger armies. Germany and Britain competed against each other to have the strongest navy with the most Dreadnoughts.

1-4

0

Level 1: Basic explanation of one or more factors Answer demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding that is relevant to the question

Answer demonstrates a basic line of reasoning, which is coherent, structured with some substantiation; the relevance might be implicit.

Students recognise and provide a basic explanation of one or more factors.

Students may offer a basic explanation of the stated factor, such as Archduke Franz Ferdinand was killed by the Black Hand group.

Students may offer basic explanations of other factor(s), for example, the Alliance system brought all the major countries of Europe into the First World War.

Students either submit no evidence or fail to address the question

Spelling, punctuation and grammar

	Performance descriptor	Marks awarded
High performance	 Learners spell and punctuate with consistent accuracy Learners use rules of grammar with effective control of meaning overall Learners use a wide range of specialist terms as appropriate 	4 marks
Intermediate performance	 Learners spell and punctuate with considerable accuracy Learners use rules of grammar with general control of meaning overall Learners use a good range of specialist terms as appropriate 	2–3 marks
Threshold performance	 Learners spell and punctuate with reasonable accuracy Learners use rules of grammar with some control of meaning and any errors do not significantly hinder meaning overall Learners use a limited range of specialist terms as appropriate 	1 mark
No marks awarded	 The learner writes nothing The learner's response does not relate to the question The learner's achievement in SPaG does not reach the threshold performance level, for example errors in spelling, punctuation and 	0 marks

grammar severely hinder meaning